Fractional Turán's theorem and bounds for the chromatic number

Leonardo Ignacio Martínez Sandoval Luis Montejano Peimbert

> IMATE - Unidad Juriquilla, UNAM I3M - Université de Montpellier

LAGOS 2015, May 14

Graphs

- A graph is a pair of sets G = (V, E)
- V is called the set of vertices.
- ► *E* is called the set of *edges*. The elements from *E* are some pairs of vertices.

Hummingbird Graph



- A subset of vertices is a *clique* if there is an edge between any two of them.
- ► The *clique number* of a graph is the size of a largest clique. It is denoted by ω(G).

- A subset of vertices is a *clique* if there is an edge between any two of them.
- ► The *clique number* of a graph is the size of a largest clique. It is denoted by ω(G).



- A subset of vertices is a *clique* if there is an edge between any two of them.
- ► The *clique number* of a graph is the size of a largest clique. It is denoted by ω(G).



• How are |E| and $\omega(G)$ related?

- A subset of vertices is a *clique* if there is an edge between any two of them.
- ► The *clique number* of a graph is the size of a largest clique. It is denoted by ω(G).



- How are |E| and $\omega(G)$ related?
- Intuition: If |V| is fixed and |E| is large, then $\omega(G)$ is large.

Mantel's theorem and Turán's theorem

Theorem (Mantel, 1907) Let G = (V, E) be a graph such that |V(G)| = n. If $|E(G)| > \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$, then

 $\omega(G) \geq 3.$

Mantel's theorem and Turán's theorem

Theorem (Mantel, 1907) Let G = (V, E) be a graph such that |V(G)| = n. If $|E(G)| > \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \cdot \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$,

then

$$\omega(G) \geq 3.$$

Theorem (Turán, 1941) Let G = (V, E) be a graph such that |V(G)| = n and r a positive integer. If

$$|E(G)|>\frac{r-1}{r}\cdot\frac{n^2}{2},$$

then

 $\omega(G) \geq r+1.$

(Fractional) Turan's theorem

► A graph G on n vertices has at most

$$\binom{n}{2} \approx \frac{n^2}{2}$$

edges.

(Fractional) Turan's theorem

► A graph G on n vertices has at most

$$\binom{n}{2} \approx \frac{n^2}{2}$$

edges.

▶ Intuition: A large *proportion* of the maximum possible edges yields a large clique of *fixed size* with respect to *n*.

(Fractional) Turan's theorem

A graph G on n vertices has at most

$$\binom{n}{2} \approx \frac{n^2}{2}$$

edges.

- Intuition: A large proportion of the maximum possible edges yields a large clique of *fixed size* with respect to *n*.
- ► Question: Does there exist a result that given a large proportion of edges guarantees that ω(G) ≥ cn?
- ▶ Question: Does there exist a result that given a large proportion of edges guarantees that $\omega(G) \ge f(n)$, with f a function such that $f(n) \to \infty$?

 In general, they do not exist. Turán's theorem is best possible. Therefore, there are graphs such that

$$|E(G)| > 0.9999 \cdot \frac{n^2}{2}$$
 and $\omega(G) < 0.0001 \cdot n.$

In general, they do not exist. Turán's theorem is best possible. Therefore, there are graphs such that

$$|E(G)| > 0.9999 \cdot \frac{n^2}{2}$$
 and $\omega(G) < 0.0001 \cdot n.$

• The best we can get is a K_{10001} .

 In general, they do not exist. Turán's theorem is best possible. Therefore, there are graphs such that

$$|E(G)| > 0.9999 \cdot rac{n^2}{2}$$
 and $\omega(G) < 0.0001 \cdot n.$

- The best we can get is a K_{10001} .
- What happens if we restrict ourselves to some families of graphs?

 In general, they do not exist. Turán's theorem is best possible. Therefore, there are graphs such that

$$|E(G)|>0.9999\cdot rac{n^2}{2}$$
 and $\omega(G)<0.0001\cdot n.$

- The best we can get is a K₁₀₀₀₁.
- What happens if we restrict ourselves to some families of graphs?
- ► Interval graphs: G = (V, E), where V is a finite family of bounded real intervals and two intervals form an edge if they intersect.
- We will use \mathcal{G}_I to denote the family of all interval graphs.

Example of an interval graph



Another example of an interval graph



Figure: By David Eppstein, Public Domain

A theorem by Katchalski and Liu

Theorem (Katchalski, Liu, 1979)

Let $G \in G_I$ be an interval graph on n vertices and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ a real number. If G has more than

$$\alpha \cdot \binom{n}{2}$$

edges, then $\omega(G) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot n$.

A theorem by Katchalski and Liu

Theorem (Katchalski, Liu, 1979)

Let $G \in G_I$ be an interval graph on n vertices and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ a real number. If G has more than

$$\alpha \cdot \binom{n}{2}$$

edges, then $\omega(G) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot n$.

- If we have half of the edges, Turán's theorem states that $\omega(G) \ge 3$, but K.L. theorem states that $\omega(G) \ge \frac{n}{4}$.
- Why can we have a better Turán's type result?

A theorem by Katchalski and Liu

Theorem (Katchalski, Liu, 1979)

Let $G \in G_I$ be an interval graph on n vertices and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ a real number. If G has more than

$$\alpha \cdot \binom{n}{2}$$

edges, then $\omega(G) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot n$.

- If we have half of the edges, Turán's theorem states that $\omega(G) \ge 3$, but K.L. theorem states that $\omega(G) \ge \frac{n}{4}$.
- Why can we have a better Turán's type result? In this case, it is because of the geometry.

Questions

- What is the translation of the involved geometry in combinatorial terms?
- Can we isolate this property so that we can have a purely combinatorial theorem?

Questions

- What is the translation of the involved geometry in combinatorial terms?
- Can we isolate this property so that we can have a purely combinatorial theorem?
- In which families can we have a Turán's type result that guarantees ω(G) ≥ c ⋅ n?
- In which families can we have a Turán's type result that guarantees ω(G) ≥ f(n) where f(n) → ∞?

Questions

- What is the translation of the involved geometry in combinatorial terms?
- Can we isolate this property so that we can have a purely combinatorial theorem?
- In which families can we have a Turán's type result that guarantees ω(G) ≥ c ⋅ n?
- In which families can we have a Turán's type result that guarantees ω(G) ≥ f(n) where f(n) → ∞?
- Can we find some nice applications in geometry or other areas of mathematics?

Colorings and chromatic number

• For a positive integer c we use [c] to denote $\{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$.

Colorings and chromatic number

- For a positive integer c we use [c] to denote $\{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$.
- A proper c−coloring of G is a function f : V → [c] such that for adjacent vertices v₁ and v₂ we have that f(v₁) ≠ f(v₂)
- ► The chromatic number \(\chi(G)\) is the minimum c for which a proper c-coloring for G exists.

Colorings and chromatic number

- For a positive integer c we use [c] to denote $\{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$.
- A proper c−coloring of G is a function f : V → [c] such that for adjacent vertices v₁ and v₂ we have that f(v₁) ≠ f(v₂)
- ► The chromatic number \(\chi(G)\) is the minimum c for which a proper c-coloring for G exists.
- A graph G is *bipartite* if $\chi(G) \leq 2$.

Hummingbird Graph



Proper coloring of the Hummingbird Graph



• How are $\omega(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ related?

- How are $\omega(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ related?
- The vertices of a clique need distinct colors. Therefore χ(G) ≥ ω(G).

- How are $\omega(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ related?
- The vertices of a clique need distinct colors. Therefore χ(G) ≥ ω(G).
- Is it possible to upper-bound χ(G) by a function depending only on ω(G)?

- How are $\omega(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ related?
- The vertices of a clique need distinct colors. Therefore χ(G) ≥ ω(G).
- Is it possible to upper-bound χ(G) by a function depending only on ω(G)?

Theorem (Descartes, Erdos, Mycielski, Zykov, AMM, etc.) There are graphs such that $\omega(G) \leq 2$ and such that $\chi(G)$ is arbitrarily large.

- How are $\omega(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ related?
- The vertices of a clique need distinct colors. Therefore χ(G) ≥ ω(G).
- Is it possible to upper-bound χ(G) by a function depending only on ω(G)?

Theorem (Descartes, Erdos, Mycielski, Zykov, AMM, etc.) There are graphs such that $\omega(G) \leq 2$ and such that $\chi(G)$ is arbitrarily large.

Families in which χ(G') ≤ f(ω(G')) for any induced subgraph G' of a graph G in the family are interesting and have been widely studied (Gyarfas, 1987).

- How are $\omega(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ related?
- The vertices of a clique need distinct colors. Therefore χ(G) ≥ ω(G).
- Is it possible to upper-bound χ(G) by a function depending only on ω(G)?

Theorem (Descartes, Erdos, Mycielski, Zykov, AMM, etc.) There are graphs such that $\omega(G) \leq 2$ and such that $\chi(G)$ is arbitrarily large.

Families in which χ(G') ≤ f(ω(G')) for any induced subgraph G' of a graph G in the family are interesting and have been widely studied (Gyarfas, 1987). For example, *perfect graphs*.

We can upper-bound χ(G) using a function of ω(G) and |V(G)|

We can upper-bound χ(G) using a function of ω(G) and |V(G)|, for example χ(G) ≤ |V(G)|.

- We can upper-bound χ(G) using a function of ω(G) and |V(G)|, for example χ(G) ≤ |V(G)|.
- How much do we need |V(G)|?

- We can upper-bound χ(G) using a function of ω(G) and |V(G)|, for example χ(G) ≤ |V(G)|.
- How much do we need |V(G)|?

Proposition

For any graph G, the following inequality holds:

$$\chi(G) \leq rac{1}{2} \cdot |V(G)| + rac{1}{2} \cdot \omega(G).$$

- Let G be a graph, n = V(G), χ = χ(G) and ω = ω(G). We color G using χ colors.
- ▶ Let *a_i* be the number of chromatic classes with *i* vertices.

- Let G be a graph, n = V(G), χ = χ(G) and ω = ω(G). We color G using χ colors.
- ▶ Let *a_i* be the number of chromatic classes with *i* vertices.
- We note that $a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_r = \chi$ and that

- Let G be a graph, n = V(G), χ = χ(G) and ω = ω(G). We color G using χ colors.
- ▶ Let *a_i* be the number of chromatic classes with *i* vertices.
- We note that $a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_r = \chi$ and that

$$n = a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + \ldots + ra_r$$

$$\geq a_1 + 2(a_2 + a_3 + \ldots + a_r)$$

$$= a_1 + 2(\chi - a_1) = 2\chi - a_1.$$

- Let G be a graph, n = V(G), χ = χ(G) and ω = ω(G). We color G using χ colors.
- ▶ Let *a_i* be the number of chromatic classes with *i* vertices.
- We note that $a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_r = \chi$ and that

$$n = a_1 + 2a_2 + 3a_3 + \ldots + ra_r$$

$$\geq a_1 + 2(a_2 + a_3 + \ldots + a_r)$$

$$= a_1 + 2(\chi - a_1) = 2\chi - a_1.$$

• Therefore $\chi \leq \frac{n+a_1}{2}$. We now need to prove $a_1 \leq \omega$.







The results: Some equivalences

Theorem (L.M. and L. Montejano, 2014)

Let \mathfrak{G} be a family of graphs that is closed under induced subgraphs. Then the following three statements are equivalent:

- There are real numbers c and d such that
 - for every graph $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ we have $\chi(G) \leq c\omega(G)$ and
 - for every $B \in \mathfrak{G}$, B bipartite, we have $|E(B)| \leq d|V(B)|$.
- - for $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ if $|E(G)| \ge \alpha \binom{|V(G)|}{2}$, then $\omega(G) \ge \alpha \beta n$.
- There exists a constant C such that
 - If G is a graph on n vertices such that $\omega(G) \le k$, then $|E(G)| \le Cnk$.

The results: Chromatic number bound

Theorem (L.M. and L. Montejano, 2014)

For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a function f_{ϵ} such that for any graph G the following inequality holds:

 $\chi(G) \leq \epsilon \cdot |V(G)| + f_{\epsilon}(\omega(G)).$

The results: Chromatic number bound

Theorem (L.M. and L. Montejano, 2014)

For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a function f_{ϵ} such that for any graph G the following inequality holds:

$$\chi(G) \leq \epsilon \cdot |V(G)| + f_{\epsilon}(\omega(G)).$$

Theorem (L.M. and L. Montejano, 2014)

Let \mathfrak{G} be a cuis family of graphs in which $|E(B)| \leq d|V(B)|$ for a global constant d.

Then for any $\alpha > 0$, the graphs in the set $\{G \in \mathfrak{G} : |E(G)| \ge \alpha {|V(G)| \choose 2}\}$ satisfy that $\omega(G) \to \infty$ as $|V(G)| \to \infty$.

Some problems

▶ Is it true that for any graph *G* we have the following?

$$\chi(G) \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot |V(G)| + 1000 \cdot \omega(G).$$

Is is true if we change 1000 by a larger constant?

Contact

Contact details

leomtz@im.unam.mx
http://blog.nekomath.com

Contact

Contact details

leomtz@im.unam.mx
http://blog.nekomath.com



Thank you for your attention!